LABORATORY TESTING INFILL DYNAMIC FATIGUE



Project Information

Broject Name	Camofill		
	Infill Dynamic Fatigue – 10,000 Repeated Impacts		
	Western States Wholesale		
Client Information	PO Box 4225		
	Ontario, CA 91761		
Report Date	February 12, 2021		
Report Status	Final		
Job No.	96342/6684		
Prepared by	Megan Illsley	Manna Allelin	
	Laboratory Director	Magan Dusuy	
Checked By	Jeffrey Gentile	MI G	
checked by	Director of Operations	Musila	

Notes:

1. This report has been prepared by Firefly Sports Testing with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the contract with the Client and within the limitations of the resources devoted to it.

2. This report is confidential to the Client and Firefly Sports Testing accepts no responsibility whatsoever to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

3. This report shall not be used for engineering or contractual purposes unless signed by the Author and the Checker and unless the report status is "Final."

4. Opinions and interpretations are not within the scope of Firefly Sports Testing's ISO 17025 Accreditation.

5. The results within this report relate only to the samples as tested by this laboratory.

Summary

Firefly Sports Testing was commissioned to perform a repeated impact infill resiliency comparison of a Camofill infill sample that was sent to our laboratory. The received sample was split into two parts. The first portion was tested per the standards in the test method table below. The second portion of infill was subjected to a repeated impact procedure. The modified dynamic fatigue procedure consisted of loosely placing the infill in a confined cylinder measuring 25.4 mm deep with a diameter of 105 mm. The sample received a set drop to establish initial consolidated depth and then subjected to 10,000 repeated impacts at 750N. Upon completion of the 10,000 impacts, the sample was removed from the cylinder and tested per the standards in the test method table below. Comparative particle size distribution, bulk density results and compaction data of the infill sample before and after undergoing the repeated impact procedure can be found in subsequent sections of this report.







Unit D5 • 78 Londonderry Tpk • Hooksett • New Hampshire • 03106 (603) 715-5453 • Info@fireflysportstesting.com Page 1 of 5

LABORATORY TESTING INFILL DYNAMIC FATIGUE



Test Methods

Test Type	Test Method	Test Description
Dynamic	FN 17324-2020	Surfaces for sports areas – Test method for the determination of
Fatigue	211 1/ 524.2020	the resistance to dynamic fatigue of shock pads and sports surfaces
Particle	EN 022 1	Tests for Geometrical Properties of Aggregates – Part 1:
Size	EIN 322-T	Determination of Particles Size Distribution – Sieving Method
Bulk	EN 1007 3	Tests for mechanical and physical properties of aggregates - Part 3:
Density	EN 1097-3	Determination of loose bulk density and voids

Table of Contents

Summary	1
Test Methods	2
General Information	2
Pre and Post Repeated Impact Results	3
Compaction Results	4
Particle Size Distribution Comparison	5

General Information

Sample Description	Camofill
Test Date	1/28/2021
Air Temp (°F)	74
Humidity (%)	45







Unit D5 ● 78 Londonderry Tpk ● Hooksett ● New Hampshire ● 03106 (603) 715-5453 ● Info@fireflysportstesting.com Page 2 of 5

LABORATORY TESTING INFILL DYNAMIC FATIGUE



Pre and Post Repeated Impact Results

	Characteristic	Results		Characteristic	Results
Pre-Repeated Impact	Particle Size (mm)	0.80-1.25	Post Repeated Impact	Particle Size (mm)	0.80-1.25
	Particle Shape	Round		Particle Shape	Round
	Bulk Density (g/cm³)	1.552		Bulk Density (g/cm³)	1.559









Unit D5 ● 78 Londonderry Tpk ● Hooksett ● New Hampshire ● 03106 (603) 715-5453 ● Info@fireflysportstesting.com Page 3 of 5



Compaction Results

Number of Impacts	Infill Compaction (mm)	Percentage Compaction from Original Depth (%)	
0	0.00	0.0	
1000	0.69	2.7	
2000	0.76	3.0	
3000	0.82	3.2	
4000	0.85	3.3	
5000	0.88	3.5	
6000	0.88	3.5	
7000	0.91	3.6	
8000	0.95	3.7	
9000	0.95	3.7	
10000	0.98	3.9	





Unit D5 ● 78 Londonderry Tpk ● Hooksett ● New Hampshire ● 03106 (603) 715-5453 ● Info@fireflysportstesting.com Page 4 of 5



Particle Size Distribution Comparison

Pre-Repeated Impact		Passing %	Post Repeated Impact		
Sieve Size (mm)	Passing (%)	Variation	Sieve Size (mm)	Passing (%)	
4.000	100.0	0.0	4.000	100.0	
3.350	100.0	0.0	3.350	100.0	
2.500	100.0	0.1	2.500	99.9	
2.000	100.0	0.1	2.000	99.9	
1.600	99.9	0.0	1.600	99.9	
1.250	97.4	0.9	1.250	98.3	
1.000	57.1	1.3	1.000	55.9	
0.800	4.9	0.5	0.800	4.3	
0.630	0.9	0.8	0.630	0.2	
0.500	0.8	0.7	0.500	0.1	
0.315	0.2	0.2	0.315	0.0	
0.200	0.1	0.1	0.200	0.0	









Unit D5 ● 78 Londonderry Tpk ● Hooksett ● New Hampshire ● 03106 (603) 715-5453 ● Info@fireflysportstesting.com Page 5 of 5